

Proposed Mediation (Scotland) Bill

Introduction

A proposal for a Bill to increase the use and consistency of mediation services for certain civil cases by establishing a new process of court-initiated mediation that includes an initial mandatory process involving a statutory duty mediator. The consultation runs from 29 May 2019 to 20 August 2019. All those wishing to respond to the consultation are strongly encouraged to enter their responses electronically through this survey. This makes collation of responses much simpler and quicker. However, the option also exists of sending in a separate response (in hard copy or by other electronic means such as e-mail), and details of how to do so are included in the member's consultation document. Questions marked with an asterisk (*) require an answer. All responses must include a name and contact details. Names will only be published if you give us permission, and contact details are never published – but we may use them to contact you if there is a query about your response. If you do not include a name and/or contact details, we may have to disregard your response. Please note that you must complete the survey in a single session, you can choose "Save and Continue later" at any point. Whilst you have the option to skip particular questions, you must continue to the end of the survey and press "Submit" to have your response fully recorded. Please ensure you have read the consultation document before responding to any of the questions that follow. In particular, you should read the information contained in the document about how your response will be handled. The consultation document is available here: [Consultation document Privacy Notice](#)

I confirm that I have read and understood the Privacy Notice attached to this consultation which explains how my personal data will be used

About you

Please choose whether you are responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation. Note: If you choose "individual" and consent to have the response published, it will appear under your own name. If you choose "on behalf of an organisation" and consent to have the response published, it will be published under the organisation's name.

on behalf of an organisation

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

No Response

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

Third sector (charitable, campaigning, social enterprise, voluntary, non-profit)

Optional: You may wish to explain briefly what the organisation does, its experience and expertise in the subject-matter of the consultation, and how the view expressed in the response was arrived at (e.g. whether it is the view of particular office-holders or has been approved by the membership as a whole).

Families Need Fathers Scotland provides information and support to parents facing contact and related problems after separation. A lot of our clients are using or trying to use family mediation, although many

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

find that the proposed mediation is not taken up by the other parent. We are contacted by 800-1000 parents every year.

Please choose one of the following:

I am content for this response to be published and attributed to me or my organisation

Please provide your name or the name of your organisation. (Note: the name will not be published if you have asked for the response to be anonymous or "not for publication". Otherwise this is the name that will be published with your response).

Ian Maxwell, National Manager of Families Need Fathers Scotland, which will change its name to Shared Parenting Scotland later this year.

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Aim and approach

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of legislating to increase the use and consistency of mediation services for civil cases in Scotland?

Fully supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response.

We support these ideas, and would also support further moves to encourage take-up of mediation in family cases, either through a consideration of unreasonably refusal of mediation when a subsequent legal dispute is considered or the withdrawal of some legal aid for court action if it can be shown that a case could have been resolved by mediation.

Details of the proposal

Q2. Which of the following best expresses your view of requiring the parties to a civil court case (unless it is an excluded case) to complete a self-test questionnaire and attend a mandatory Mediation Information Session with a duty mediator?

Fully supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response.

In family cases, many people do not have a full understanding of their options when a dispute occurs, so a

Q2. Which of the following best expresses your view of requiring the parties to a civil court case (unless it is an excluded case) to complete a self-test questionnaire and attend a mandatory Mediation Information Session with a duty mediator?

Mediation Information Session is very desirable and is a very good opportunity for both parents to be supported at a difficult time, even if they don't take up on the mediation

Q3. Which of the following cases (if any) do you agree should be excluded from the requirement to complete a self-test questionnaire and attend a Mediation Information Session (tick all that apply)?

proceedings relating to the Abusive Behaviour and Sexual Harm (Scotland) Act, the Domestic Abuse (Scotland) Act and any other proceedings relating to domestic abuse and sexual harassment cases

any proceedings relating to civil actions for rape and other sexual offences

certain proceedings under the Family Law (Scotland) Act 2006, such as declarations of validity or dissolution of marriages

proceedings under the Arbitration (Scotland) Act

employment disputes which are governed by statutory dispute-resolution processes

judicial review proceedings

Q4. Which of the following best expresses your view of giving parties who agree to mediate access to a process that can lead to a Mediation Agreement and, where appropriate, a Mediation Settlement Agreement?

Fully supportive

Please explain the reasons for this response.

A family mediation agreement can also form the basis of a registered minute of agreement, but this process could be made simpler and less costly

Q5. Which of the following best expresses your view of giving the Scottish Ministers power to extend the mandatory part of the process (the self-test questionnaire and Mediation Information Session) so that it applies to potential litigants who are yet to go to court?

Fully supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response.

Far better to try and avoid court or at least focus the issues before embarking on court action. Mediation should be available in the same building as court, so that the transfer can be as quick as possible.

Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have on:

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
(a) Government (including court services, legal aid etc.)					X	
(b) Businesses				X		
(c) Third Sector organisations			X			
(d) Mediators and mediation organisations						X
(e) Individuals				X		

Please explain the reasons for your response.

An increase of mediation in family cases could lead to substantial savings in court costs.

Q7. Are there ways in which the Bill could achieve its aim more cost-effectively (e.g. by reducing costs or increasing savings)?

No Response

Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on equality, taking account of the following protected characteristics (under the Equality Act 2010): age, disability, gender re-assignment, maternity and pregnancy, marriage and civil partnership, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation?

Slightly positive

Q9. In what ways could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on equality be minimised or avoided?

No Response

Sustainability

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably, i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impacts?

Yes

Please explain the reasons for your response.

Public cost savings from an increase in family mediation and consequent reduction in family court action could be considerable, and the reduction in personal stress and expenditure resulting from quicker agreements gives social returns.

General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal?

My comments above relate only to family mediation.
When considering mediation processes for other civil actions Scotland should take note of systems such as the Civil Resolution Tribunal in British Columbia which draws together information, mediation and arbitration into an online process.